

10/2006
JAN 17 JUL 2006

A METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR RESOURCE BUNDLING IN A COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to communication networks, and more particularly to systems and methods for policing data traffic in communication networks.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Modern communication networks are more diverse and bandwidth-intensive than ever before. High-bandwidth communication networks are frequently required when a user needs to transmit a data flow. Data flows are data packets or service frames that have been analyzed for the purpose of determining, among others: the process flow to which they belong, the way the packets should be processed and the destination to which a packet should be routed. An exemplary process flow may be a series of packets, all belonging to the signaling of a file transfer protocol (FTP). Often the data flow includes data of different priority, ranging from high priority data, e.g., voice communications, which cannot tolerate significant delays, to low priority data, e.g., file transfers.

Access to a communication network is typically facilitated by a service provider, which maintains equipment at nodes on the network. Generally, service providers supply access to the network for multiple users. A user can access the network with multiple data flows. In order to secure sufficient bandwidth, users often contract for discrete channels, each channel capable of handling the greatest expected bandwidth requirement of a respective data flow. Often, these channels utilize only a small fraction of the maximum allocated bandwidth. As a result, a user either pays for potential bandwidth and uses only a fraction thereof, or takes advantage and uses bandwidth at rates beyond what was actually paid for. In order to enable users to pay only for utilized bandwidth, service providers limit the transmission rate. Means for limiting and controlling the traffic are even more essential in a network employing a non-deterministic access protocol, such as an Ethernet network or a metro Ethernet network (MEN).

Typically, service providers offer a user a range of services that are differentiated based on some performance characteristics, such as delays and packet losses. Specifically, a user purchases a service package that assures a certain level of service level, usually referred to as quality of service (QoS). A service package is determined by a bandwidth profile and a class of service (CoS). The bandwidth profile is a set of traffic parameters that govern the expected arrival pattern of user traffic and provide a deterministic upper bound or an envelope to the expected volume of traffic. The bandwidth traffic parameters are: committed information rate (CIR), committed burst size (CBS), excess information rate (EIR), and excess burst size (EBS). The CoS defines the treatment inside the provider network, i.e., the level of delay requirement. For example, a packet with a high priority level (or simply "high-priority") CoS may be forwarded at the highest priority to assure minimum processing delay.

The traffic management is performed by the policing function implemented in a device (hereinafter the "policer"), of a network access node. The policer enforces the rate on each incoming data flow or a set of data flows as designated in the service package and as characterized by the bandwidth. The first step in rate enforcement is to determine the level of conformance of the incoming data flow. The level of conformance is typically expressed as one of three colors: green, yellow, or red, where green packets are transmitted at a rate equal to the CBS, yellow packets are transmitted at a rate equal to the EBS, and red packets are not transmitted.

One of the algorithms used to compute the level of conformance of incoming data flows is the token bucket rate algorithm (TBRA). For each incoming flow, the TBRA determines whether to accept or reject the flow. A flow is accepted if its length is less than the bucket content B_j at time t_j ; otherwise, the flow is rejected. The bucket content at time t_j is calculated using the equation:

$$B_j = \text{MIN}[L, B_{j-1} + R \times (t_j - t_{j-1})]; \quad (1)$$

where L is the bucket length (i.e., the burst size), and R is the rate. The parameters (L , R) can be replaced by the parameters (CBS, CIR) or (EBS, EIR) and therefore the TBRA can be used to determine the level of conformance for "green packets" and "yellow packets". Different

algorithms based on the principle described in the TBRA may be found in prior art. These include for example "three color marker", "leaky bucket", "adaptive leaky bucket", "one bucket-two colors", are just to name a few.

At present, policers are not designed to share the available bandwidth according to the CoS. Specifically, the bandwidth can be shared either among an aggregation of data flows with different CoS, or among a set of data flows with the same CoS. In the former case, data flow is served on a basis of "first comes first served", whereas in the latter case, the policer assures for each CoS a constant rate, as defined by the CIR. However, in both cases a policer can neither serve more than one data flow and more than one CoS, nor share the bandwidth efficiently between different levels of CoS. Examples for policing methods and devices may be found in U.S. patents No. 5,511,066, 5,541,913 and 6,072,989 to Witters et al, 6,104,700 to Haddock et al, and 6,646,988 to Nandy et al, and in U.S. patent application No 10/095,909 to Rawlins et al.

Therefore, in the view of the limitations introduced in the prior art, it would be advantageous to provide a policer that handles multiple classes of service and multiple data flows. It would be further advantageous if such a policer shares the bandwidth allocated to a single user in a prioritized manner.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention discloses a network policing unit that can handle multiple classes of service and multiple data flows, while sharing the bandwidth allocated to a single user in a prioritized manner, as well as methods for resource bundling using the policing unit and policers incorporated in it. The methods disclosed herein may be applied to a plurality of classes of service of a plurality of different data flows, and facilitate sharing of an available bandwidth among the data flows in a prioritized manner. They allow a single user to aggregate multiple CoS, hence enabling a low priority CoS to consume bandwidth when a high priority CoS is idle. This ensures that a user utilizes the entire bandwidth paid for. That is, the bandwidth is not shared among other users when the high priority CoS data flow of a user is idle. In other words, paid-for bandwidth is first used for lower priority packets of the paying user rather than being utilized by high priority packets of another user who does not pay for the additional bandwidth that may be needed.

According to the present invention there is provided, in a communication network, a method for resource bundling comprising the steps of: receiving, at a network policer, a plurality of data flows having different class of service (CoS) priority levels, the data flows associated with a single user having an allocated bandwidth; processing the data flows while sharing the allocated bandwidth between the different CoS priority levels in a prioritized manner; and forwarding the processed data flows to the communications network.

According to one feature in the method for resource bundling mentioned above, each data flow includes at least one packet of a respective CoS priority level, each such packet having a packet length, wherein the step of processing includes, for each packet, sub-steps of providing a threshold associated with each CoS, calculating a tentative credit value for the packet, and forming a forwarding decision based on a comparison between the tentative credit value and the threshold associated with the CoS.

According to the present invention there is provided, in a second embodiment, a method for resource bundling in a communications network comprising the steps of: at a network policer dedicated to a user, receiving a plurality of data flows comprising a plurality of data packets having corresponding packets lengths, the data flows belonging to at least two different class of service (CoS) priority levels; performing a prioritized conformance test for each data packet to accept or reject the data packet; and responsive to the conformance test, further processing each data packet.

According to a feature in the second embodiment of the method for resource bundling in a communications network, the step of processing includes, for accepted data packets, forwarding all the accepted data packets regardless of their respective CoS priority level to the communications network, and for rejected data packets, forwarding the rejected data packet to a lower level network policer for further processing.

According to the present invention there is provided a system operative to bundle resources in a communications network comprising: a plurality of network policers dedicated to a user, each policer operative to share a plurality data flows bandwidth allocated to the user in a prioritized manner, wherein the data flows belong to a plurality of different class of service (CoS) priority levels; and a corresponding plurality of coloring units, each coloring unit coupled to a respective network policer and used to color data packets of the data flows

processed in the respective policer, whereby the system allows the single user to aggregate multiple CoS, hence enabling a low priority CoS to consume bandwidth when a high priority CoS is idle.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is an exemplary diagram of a policing unit for the purpose of illustrating the principles of the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a time diagram illustrating the operation of a policer according to the present invention;

FIG. 3 shows three (a, b, c) time diagrams illustrating one of the capabilities of a policer according to the present invention;

FIG. 4 is an exemplary embodiment of an algorithm used for policing data flows according to the present invention;

FIG. 5 is a non-limiting block diagram of a policer according to the present invention;

FIG. 6 is another exemplary embodiment of an algorithm for policing data flows according to the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The present invention provides methods and a system for resource bundling in networking systems. The method described herein is capable of handling multiple data flows. The system is a policing unit (PU) involving a plurality of cascaded policers. Data flows are data packets, or service frames that have been analyzed for the purpose of determining the process flow to which they belong, how the packets should be processed, where the packet should be routed, and so on. For example, a process flow may be a series of packets all belonging to the signaling of a file transfer protocol (FTP).

Reference is now made to FIG. 1, which shows an exemplary diagram of a policing unit 100 allocated per user for the purpose of illustrating the principles of the present invention. PU 100 includes 'n' policers 110-1 through 110-n connected in a cascade connection. A policer 110 is parameterized by the pairs (CIR, CBS) or (EIR, EBS). For each policer 110 a coloring unit (CU) 120 is attached. Each CU 120 marks the packets with a different color as

preconfigured by the service provider. For example, data packets passing through policer 110-1 are marked by a CU 120-1 in green, packets passing through policer 110-2 are marked by a CU 120-2 in yellow, while packets passing through policer 110-n are marked by a CU 120-n in red. Policer 110 includes a plurality of thresholds (see FIGS 2, 3), where each threshold defines the allowed burst size for a CoS priority level. For each received data packet, policer 110 performs a conformance check to determine whether to accept or reject an incoming packet. The decision to accept or reject is based on a preconfigured threshold as well as the available bandwidth, as described in greater detail below. As seen in FIG. 1, policer 110-1 can accept or reject packets with a high priority CoS or a low priority CoS. High priority CoS packets have priority over low priority CoS packets regardless of the amount of available bandwidth. Packets accepted by policer 110-1 are colored in green, while packets rejected by policer 110-1 are forwarded to policer 110-2, which handles only lower priority CoS packets. In this example, rejected high priority packets are discarded.

A policer 110 includes various configurable parameters. The configurable parameters include, but are not limited to, the CoS priority levels to be handled by the policer, the thresholds, the colors to mark accepted packets, CIR, CBS, EIR, EBS, and others. This allows a service provider to define and offer a plurality of different service packages for different users. For example, a client may purchase a service package of 10Mbps of CIR and 4KB of CBS for high priority CoS, as well as 5Mbps of EIR for low priority CoS. The service package may include the rule that when flows of the high priority CoS are inactive, flows of the low priority CoS may also use the CIR with a burst less than 2KB. For such a service package, the service provider configures the low priority threshold to the value of 2KB and the high priority thresholds to the value of 6 KB (i.e., the 4 KB requested as a minimum for the high priority CoS plus the 2KB for the low priority CoS).

Reference is now made to FIG. 2, which shows a time diagram illustrating the operation of a policer according to the present invention. The policer includes two threshold levels 210 and 220. While the operation of the policer is discussed for only two thresholds, this is performed for exemplary purposes only, and multiple threshold levels may be used as may be necessary for the particular application. Thresholds 210 and 220 define a permitted burst size for a low priority CoS and a high priority CoS respectively. At time t_0 , the policer has

enough credit value (C_0 , equal to the maximum permitted burst size (MPBS)) to accept either a high priority packet (a packet with a high priority CoS) or a low priority packet, as long as the length of the incoming packet is smaller than the C_0 . Specifically, an available credit value C_j at time t_j can be calculated using the equation:

$$C_j = \min[CBS, C_{j-1} + CIR \times (t_j - t_{j-1})]. \quad (2)$$

The credit value, the length of a packet, and the burst size are typically measured in bytes.

A packet length is tolerated between a maximum length and a minimum length as defined by the protocol type. At time t_1 , a low priority packet **230-1** with length l_1 is received. Since l_1 is smaller than the permitted burst size defined for a low-level threshold (TH_L) of threshold **210** (i.e. $l_1 < TH_L$), packet **230-1** is accepted by policer **110-1** (FIG. 1). As a result, the credit value at time t_1 (C_1) is set to be the value of the credit value of time t_0 (C_0) minus the length l_1 . At time t_2 , a high priority packet **230-2** with length l_2 is received. As the value of the credit at time t_2 (C_2) minus l_2 does not exceed a permitted burst size defined for a high level threshold (TH_h) of threshold **220** (i.e., $C_2 - l_2 < TH_h$), packet **230-2** is accepted. As a result, the credit value is set to the value $C_2 - l_2$. At time t_3 , a high priority packet with length l_3 is received. The credit value at time t_3 (C_3) minus l_3 exceeds threshold **220** (i.e., $C_3 - l_3 > TH_h$) and therefore packet **230-3** is rejected. The credit value increases as a function of the rate, i.e. CIR or EIR, see e.g. equation (2).

Reference is now made to FIG. 3A, which shows a time diagram demonstrating one of the capabilities of a policer to protect high priority CoS data flows against flooding from low priority CoS data flows in accordance with the present invention. At time t_2 a low priority packet 330-1 with length l_1 is received. The credit value at time t_2 (C_2) minus the length of packet 330-1 (l_1) exceeds a low-level threshold 310 (i.e., $C_2 - l_1 > TH_L$), and for that reason packet 330-1 is rejected. Subsequently, a high priority packet 330-2 having length l_2 , is received. Due to the fact that the value of the current credit (C_2) minus l_2 does not exceed a high level threshold 320 (i.e. $C_2 - l_2 < TH_h$), packet 330-2 is accepted. As can be seen in FIG. 3B, acceptance of packet 330-1 would have caused rejection of packet 330-2, since there is not enough bandwidth to serve both packets.

Referring now to FIG. 3C, which shows another example for the operation of a policer according to the present invention. Four consecutive data packets 330-1, 330-2, 330-3, and 330-4, all belonging to a low priority data flow, arrive at the policer between time t_0 to time t_4 . The lengths of data packets 330-1, 330-2, 330-3, and 330-4 are l_1 , l_2 , l_3 , and l_4 respectively. At time t_1 , packet 330-1 is accepted, since the credit value at time t_1 (C_1) minus its length (l_1) does not exceed low-level threshold 310 (i.e., $C_1 - l_1 < TH_L$). At time t_2 , packet 330-2 is accepted, although the credit value at time t_2 (C_2) minus its length (l_2) exceeds low-level threshold 310 (i.e. $C_2 - l_2 > TH_L$). Packet 330-3 is accepted, since a sequence of low priority packets are received immediately after packet 330-2. Hence, this allows a user to consume the entire bandwidth paid for when high priority flow is not transmitted, i.e., when no high priority packets are received. For the same reason, at time t_3 , packet 330-3 is accepted, although the credit value at time t_3 (C_3) minus its length (l_3) exceeds low-level threshold 310. At time t_4 , packet 330-4 is rejected to leave enough bandwidth for a high priority packet 310-5 that arrives at a later time t_5 . This is performed in order to eliminate the acceptance of the low priority flows in favor of high priority flows. At time t_5 , packet 330-5 is accepted, since the credit value at time t_5 (C_5) minus the length of packet 330-5 (l_5) does not exceed high-level threshold 320 (i.e., $C_5 - l_5 < TH_h$).

As can be understood from the exemplary cases described above, the use of multiple thresholds allows the sharing of bandwidth allocated to a single user in a prioritized manner. Furthermore, as a policer 110 is allocated per user, a single user may aggregate multiple CoS

and thus allow low priority data flows to consume bandwidth allocated for high priority data flows, when such high priority flows are not transmitted, but which bandwidth was paid for anyway. This is in contrast with prior art solutions in which unused bandwidth allocated for high priority flows is shared among other users. That is, a policer **110** is designed to provide resource bundling.

Reference is now made to FIG. 4, which shows an exemplary embodiment of an algorithm **400** used for policing data flows in accordance with this invention. At step **S410**, a packet 'j' with a length l_j is received. At step **S420**, the incoming packet is analyzed to determine the CoS priority level of the packet. The CoS priority level is designated in the packet's header. At step **S430**, a tentative credit value ("B") is calculated for packet 'j' arriving at time t_j . The tentative credit value determines the remaining credit after accepting an incoming packet. The tentative credit value is calculated using the following equation:

$$B = C_j - l_j; \quad (3)$$

where C_j is the available credit at time t_j . The value of C_j is preferably calculated using equation (2). At step **S440** the tentative credit value is compared against a CoS threshold (TH_{CoS}) corresponding to the CoS priority level of the incoming packet. If the value of the tentative credit value is lower than the threshold value (i.e. if $B < TH_{CoS}$), then, at step **S450**, the packet is accepted; otherwise, at step **S470**, the packet is rejected. As a result of accepting the packet, at step **S460** the credit C_j is set to the value of the tentative credit value. A rejected packet can be forwarded to another policer of a lower level, or alternatively it may be dropped.

The disclosed method can be implemented in software (computer code) using a computing machine. The software could be in any type of computing language in any level. The techniques could also be implemented using a combination of hardware and software. Computer program products (including Internet downloads) that include the software for implementing the disclosed techniques form part of the disclosed teachings. Reference is now made to FIG. 5, which shows a non-limiting block diagram of a policer **110**. Policer **110** preferably comprises an input port **505** and an output port **575**. Policer **110** further includes a receiver **510**, a transmitter **520**, a determination unit (DU) **530**, a computing unit (CU) **540**, and

a comparator 550. Input port 505 and output port 575 may be, but are not limited to, 10Mbps, 100Mbps, 1Gbps, and 10Gbps Ethernet ports. Input and output ports are both coupled to a common communication link (not shown). Receiver 510 is coupled to input port 505 and to DU 530, which in turn is coupled to CU 540. CU 540 is further coupled to comparator 550, which is further coupled to transmitter 520.

Receiver 510 receives through input port 505 the incoming data packets being transported over the common communication link. Upon reception of a data packet, receiver 510 provides the information of the header part of this data packet to DU 530. DU 530 determines, from the received header information, the CoS priority level and the length of the incoming packet. DU 530 provides comparator 550 with the CoS priority level information and CU 540 with the packet length l . CU 540 computes the tentative credit value (B), using for example equation (3), and provides comparator 550 with the result. Comparator 550 executes a conformance check according to the predefined threshold and the CoS priority level of the packet. Comparator 550 determines if the calculated tentative credit value (B) exceeds the predefined threshold, and if it does, the packet is rejected; otherwise, the packet is accepted. In the event that comparator 550 declares the received packet as accepted, the packet can be transmitted on the communication link. As a result, comparator 550 forwards a permission signal to the transmitter 520, which subsequently transmits the packet on the communication link. If the received packet is rejected, then the packet is not transmitted on the communication link, and can be either discarded or forwarded to another policer.

It should be appreciated by a person skilled in the art that the components of a policer 110 described herein may be hardware components, firmware components, software components, or a combination thereof.

In an embodiment of this invention, policer 110 may be included in a metro Ethernet network on the user-network interface (UNI) using a standard 10Mbps, 100Mbps, 1Gbps, 10Gbps Ethernet interface. In a metro Ethernet network, policer 110 may carry out all the activities related to Ethernet traffic management as described in greater detail above.

Reference is now made to FIG. 6, which shows another exemplary embodiment of an algorithm for policing data flows according to the present invention. FIG. 6 shows a set of 'n' counters 610-1 through 610-n included in a policer (e.g., policer 110). Each of counters 610

serves a different priority level of CoS. A counter 610-i counts at a rate proportional to the committed rate (i.e. the CIR) until the threshold of that rate is reached. Once the threshold is reached, a next counter 610-i+1 starts to accumulate credit. Specifically, when a packet arrives, its length is compared to the amount of available credit in counters 610 and the packet is deemed conforming or non-conforming based on a preprogrammed rule. As a non-limiting example, a packet with a CoS priority level 'j' is accepted if the following rule is obeyed:

$$l > CC_j + CC_{j+1} + \dots + CC_n \quad (4)$$

where l is the length of the packet and CC_i is the amount of credit in counter 610-i. The CoS priority levels of counter 610-1 through 610-n are CoS_1 through CoS_n respectively, where CoS_1 is the highest priority and CoS_n is the lowest priority. After which, the counters are depleted, starting with CC_n until a credit with a total length 'l' has been removed.

All publications, patents and patent applications mentioned in this specification are herein incorporated in their entirety by reference into the specification, to the same extent as if each individual publication, patent or patent application was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated herein by reference. In addition, citation or identification of any reference in this application shall not be construed as an admission that such reference is available as prior art to the present invention.

While the invention has been described with respect to a limited number of embodiments, it will be appreciated that many variations, modifications and other applications of the invention may be made.